## **Tier III Critical Components Checklist**

School Name: FL		or District Student ID:							
School Year: 2007-08 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 2011-12									
Date Initial Meeting Occurred:		Grade Level:							
Are	Area(s) of Concern (Check all that apply): Reading Math Behavior								
<u>Directions</u> : For each selected student, please use the scale provided to indicate the extent to which each critical component of problem-solving is present in the Problem-Solving Team (i.e., Intervention Assistance Team, School-Based Intervention Team, Student Success Team, Child Study Team) paperwork. See the attached rubric for the criteria for determining the extent to which each critical component is present.									
Component			Abse Parti Prese Prese	ially ent	Evidence/Comments				
Pr	oblem Identification								
1.	Replacement behavior (i.e., target skill) was identified	0	1	2					
2.	Data were collected to determine the target student's current level of performance, the expected level, and peer performance	0	1	2					
3.	A gap analysis between the student's current level of performance and the benchmark, and the peers' current level of performance (or adequate representation of peer performance) and the benchmark was conducted	0	1	2					
Pr	oblem Analysis								
4.	Hypotheses were developed across multiple domains (e.g., curriculum, classroom, home/family, child, teacher, peers) or a functional analysis of behavior was completed	0	1	2					
5.	Data were used to determine viable or active hypotheses for why students were not attaining benchmarks	0	1	2					
Intervention Development and Implementation									
6.	A complete intervention plan (i.e., who, what, when) was developed in areas for which data were available and hypotheses were verified	0	1	2					
7.	An intervention support plan was developed (including actions to be taken, who is responsible, and when the actions will occur)	0	1	2					
8.	A plan for assessing intervention integrity (i.e., fidelity) was agreed upon	0	1	2					

0

1

2

9. Frequency, focus, dates of progress monitoring, and responsibilities for collecting the data were agreed upon

Component			ent cially ent	Evidence/Comments			
10. Criteria for positive response to intervention were agreed upon prior to implementing the intervention plan	0	1	2				
11. A follow-up meeting was scheduled at the initial meeting	0	1	2				
Program Evaluation/RtI							
12. Progress monitoring data were collected and presented graphically	0	1	2				
13. Documentation of implementation of the intervention plan was presented	0	1	2				
14. A decision regarding good, questionable, or poor RtI was made	0	1	2				
15. A decision to continue, modify, or terminate the intervention plan was made	0	1	2				
16. An additional follow-up meeting was scheduled to readdress student progress at the follow-up meeting	0	1	2				

Additional Comments:										