Woodside Elementary School School Year: 2011

## THE RTI ASSESSMENT AUDIT

A comprehensive assessment system will involve multiple and varied types of evaluative tools designed to provide information that guides and informs instruction. The RtI Assessment Audit is a review of assessment practices implemented by a school in the area of literacy across grade levels. Conducting a RtI Assessment Audit serves several purposes:

- 1. Identifies the different types of assessments used in the area of literacy
- 2. Clarifies the purpose (screening, progress monitoring, diagnostic, and outcome) of each assessment tool
- 3. Identifies the construct being assessed (e.g., concepts of print, comprehension, fluency, etc..),
- 4. Identifies training needs of staff members relative to the administration and interpretation of targeted assessments;
- 5. Provides an opportunity for a school to identify redundancies or gaps in the assessment process.

## **Directions**: For each grade level, identify:

- 1. NAME OF THE ASSESSMENT TOOL administered include full name of tool administered
- 2. PRIMARY PURPOSE check the box next to the primary purpose for each tool listed

Purpose	Description	Examples:
Screening	Brief assessments designed to provide preliminary indication of which	Phoneme Segmentation Fluency -
	students may be at-risk for reading difficulties	Dynamic Indicators of Basic Literacy Skills
Diagnostic	Individually administered assessments used for the purposes of gaining	Qualitative Reading Inventory
	a more precise picture of student's skills and knowledge. Information	Running Records
	obtained is used to plan instruction.	Informal Phonics Inventory
Progress	Brief assessment typically administered for the purposes of	Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy
Monitoring	determining if a student is making adequate progress,	Skills (DIBELS) – Oral Reading Fluency
Outcome	Assessments that are commonly administered on a group basis at the	NYS ELA Assessment – Grade 3
	end of the year. Primarily used to determine if particular instructional	
	or policy goals are being met, though they may also be used to examine	
	trends in learning.	

- 3. Construct Assessed: check the box(es) that indicate what specific literacy element or area is being evaluated.
- 4. FREQUENCY OF ADMINISTRATION: for each tool identify the number of times it is administered on a yearly basis
- 5. **STAFF TRAINING REQUIRED**: check yes or no to indicate whether the staff who are expected to administer the assessment are adequately trained.
- 6. **REDUNDANCIES**: list any assessments that duplicate the information obtained from another tool
- 7. **VOIDS**: identify gaps in assessment information

**Woodside Elementary School** 

	diside Elementary Sen	Purpose	Construct Assessed											
Grade	Assessment Tool		Concepts of Print	Phonological Awareness	Word Recognition	Spelling Development	Reading Fluency	Conceptual Vocabulary	Comprehension	Writing Process	Motivation/ Attitudes	Other	Frequency of Administration	Staff Adequately Trained?
EN	Aimsweb	☐ Screening ☐ Diagnostic ☐ Progress Monitoring ☐ Outcome	Х	х	Х								All students benchmarked 3x a year. Intensive students PM every 2 weeks. Strategic students every 3 weeks	☐ yes ☐ no Aimsweb is new this year. Staff is trained in admin. Probes and is working on utilizing all the data reports to make decisions on instruction.
ERGARTEN	Harcourt Core Theme Test Trophies/Trofeos	<ul><li>□ Screening</li><li>□ Diagnostic</li><li>□ Progress Monitoring</li><li>□ Outcome</li></ul>	X	X	X								Every 3 weeks after completion of theme. Theme test monitor students' comprehension of each selection	□ yes
KIND	BRIGANCE Early Childhood Screen	☐ Screening ☐ Diagnostic ☐ Progress Monitoring ☐ Outcome	Х										1 time screening assessment administered to incoming K students.	☐ yes☐ no
	Writing Prompts	<ul><li>□ Screening</li><li>□ Diagnostic</li><li>□ Progress Monitoring</li><li>□ Outcome</li></ul>								Х			4 X a year	□ yes
	Fountas & Pinnell	☐ Screening ☐ Diagnostic ☐ Progress Monitoring ☐ Outcome	X	Х	Х				Х				1x for individual students who are struggling or to determine a reading level on a student	☐ yes Beginning stages ☐ no

School Year: 2011

Woodside Elementary School

	,	Purpose	Construct Assessed											
Grade	Assessment Tool		Concepts of Print	Phonological Awareness	Word Recognition	Spelling Development	Reading Fluency	Conceptual Vocabulary	Comprehension	Writing Process	Motivation/ Attitudes	Otner	Frequency of Administration	Staff Adequately Trained?
FIRST GRADE	Aimsweb	<ul><li>□ Screening</li><li>□ Diagnostic</li><li>□ Progress Monitoring</li><li>□ Outcome</li></ul>		X	X								All students benchmarked 3x a year. Intensive students PM every 2 weeks. Strategic students every 3 weeks	<mark>□ yes</mark> □ no
	Harcourt Core Trophies/Trofeos	<ul><li>□ Screening</li><li>□ Diagnostic</li><li>□ Progress Monitoring</li><li>□ Outcome</li></ul>			X		X	X	X				,	□ yes □ no
	Running Records	<ul><li>□ Screening</li><li>□ Diagnostic</li><li>□ Progress Monitoring</li><li>□ Outcome</li></ul>			X		х						Varies	☐ yes Reading staff only ☐ no
	Writing Prompts	☐ Screening ☐ Diagnostic ☐ Progress Monitoring ☐ Outcome								Х			4 X a year	□ yes □ no
	Harcourt Spelling	☐ Screening ☐ Diagnostic ☐ Progress Monitoring ☐ Outcome				Х							End of selection	☐ yes☐ no
	Terra Nova	☐ Screening ☐ Diagnostic ☐ Progress Monitoring ☐ Outcome			Х	Х			Х				1X	□ yes □ no
	Fountas & Pinnell	☐ Screening ☐ Diagnostic ☐ Progress Monitoring ☐ Outcome	X	Х	X		X		Х					□ yes

School Year: 2011

REDUNDANCIES								
Grade	Are there any redundancies?	Identify						
	☐ yes							
К	□ no							
	☐ yes							
1	□ no							
2	<b>□</b> yes							
	□ no							
	☐ yes							
3	□ no							
	☐ yes							
4	□ no							
	<b>□</b> yes							
5	□ no							

Woodside Elementary School

School Year: 2011

	VOIDS								
Grade	Are there any voids?	Identify							
K	□ yes □ no								
1	□ yes □ no								
2	□ yes								
3	□ yes □ no								
4	□ yes □ no								
5	□ yes □ no								