

RTI ACTION PLAN



2010 - 2011

Name of School: JOSEPH A. EDGAR INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL

District: ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Key Rtl Element/Feature: ASSESSMENT: UNIVERSAL SCREENING							
Specific Rtl Indicator(s)	Current Status	Action	Timeline	Resources	Who's Responsible	Evidence of Change	
 My school has an already identified screening/benchmarking tool that will be used for determining at-risk status. My school has established a regular schedule for screening ALL students in grades K-4 a minimum of three times per year. 	• School has identified screening benchmark tools to identify at risk students	Benchmark all students grades Grade 3-5 using all identified tools	Complete all Benchmark baseline Testing by October 1, 2011	AIMS Web Think Link LC Benchmark Slossan/Schlagel	AIMS web:Reading teachers/administration Think Link /LC/ Slossan Schlagel Classroom teachers	Establish baseline data in September Check for growth over time through universal screening 3x per year Sept/Jan/May	

Specific Rtl Indicator(s)	Current Status	Action	Timeline	Resources	Who's Responsible	Evidence of Change
 Logistical decisions involving progress monitoring have been determined: who, what, where, when, and frequency of monitoring at each tier. Students performing below grade level expectations are progress monitored frequently (weekly and/or biweekly). 	 Reading teachers meet monthly to discuss individual student progress Progress monitor students at-risk Fundations grade 3 classroom teachers Wilson at-risk students Special education teachers /reading teachers 	Continue to investigate small group strategies Increased guided reading time 5x week for at risk students, Minimum 3x week all students Extra Help/After School Reading Bi-monthly staff training in reading strategies by grade level Collegial Circles with individual teachers/reading teachers	On-going	AIMSweb protocols Think Link probes Writing Lab Learning Lab ELA	Reading teachers Classroom teachers Reading teachers Special education teachers	Student growth / screening subtests Grade level growth

Specific Rtl Indicator	Current Status	Action	Timeline	Resources	Who's Responsible	Evidence of Change
 Progress monitoring tools include a combination of curriculum based measures and informal measures (reading inventories/checklist rubric/running records)to gauge progress and inform instruction Key Rtl Element/Feature 	 In progress, continued staff development to enhance teacher skills ASSESSMENT – PF 	Bi-monthly day long staff training by grade level Use LC assessment kit with greater fidelity Collegial Circles around data	September- June 2010-11 AGNOSTIC)	Professional development in house Attendance at conferences	Reading teachers/administration Conference providers	Improvement in fidelity of assessment instruments Increase comparability of student scores
Specific Rtl Indicator	Current Status	Action	Resources	Timeline	Who's Responsible	Evidence of Change
• Regular checks of fidelity of progress monitoring administration are conducted.	 On-going progress Monitoring for at- risk students WIST (Wilson) WADE 	Small group with progress monitoring (2wks) Wilson Intensive	Wilson Readin Rigby Readers	g Ongoing	Intervention team Special education teachers	Growth over time Improve progress monitoring fidelity procedures

• QRI (R	eading)		
• Rigby ((Reading)		