

## **RTI ACTION PLAN**

2011 - 2012



## Name of School: Brookside School

## District: Ossining Union Free School District

Specific Rtl Indicator	Current Status	Action	Timeline	Resources	Who's Responsible	Evidence of Change
SCREENING: My school has already identified an assessment tool that will be used for screening benchmarking All staff has received initial training on the administration of screening measures at their respective grade levels.	A regular schedule for screening ALL students in grade 1-2 three times per year is in place. Currently assessing Grade 1 –all students in Aimsweb WIF Students below benchmarks then screened on LSF and PSF	Standardize Universal Screening Measures in PSF and NWF 3X a year Grade 1—Teachers will be trained on NWF and PSF measures Grade 1 – All students will be screened in the Fall using NWF, PSF, WIF	Fall-Winter- Spring September/Fall Benchmark Period	AimsWeb Fidelity Training Presentation AimsWeb Fidelity Checklists	Tim Scholten, Margaret Callagy and RTI Team Tim Scholten, Margaret Callagy and RTI Team	Fidelity of Implementation and Scoring
					Tim Scholten, Margaret Callagy and RTI Team	Fidelity of Implementation, Administration and Scoring

Key Rtl Element/Feature: TEAMING/COLLABORATION							
Specific Rtl Indicator	Current Status	Action	Timeline	Resources	Who's Responsible	Evidence of Change	
Shared responsibility for all children is evident among general and special educators. School personnel support the RtI process.	Progress Monitoring currently conducted by teacher providing Intervention and subsequently entered into AIMS-Web and explained to parents	Offer continued professional development for all members of the instructional team including the classroom teacher to be able to print out, understand, use for instructional decision making and explain progress monitoring graphs to parents	September and ongoing	AIMS-Web Graphs Collaboration Time Substitutes AIMS-Web Graphs	Margaret Callagy, Tim Scholten & RTI Team	All members of instructional team wil understand, use and discuss progress monitoring graphs	
The purpose of the building- based RtI Design Team has been identified along with its specific functions	RtI Design Team— Brookside Intervention Team—Rotating members of each grade and neighborhood serve to configure Tier II, Intervention Team	RtI Design TeamReconfigure Tier II and Tier III, Intervention Team to include regular members and provide time for members to share information with neighborhood colleagues	September – and ongoing	Collaboration Time Substitutes	Ferzeen Shamsi Intervention Team	Reconfigured Tier II/Intervention Team 5X year	

Specific Rtl Indicator	Current Status	Action	Timeline	Resources	Who's Responsible	Evidence of Change
A system for determining fidelity of core instruction in reading is established and implemented on a regular basis	33% of teachers identify fidelity of core instruction as established and fully implemented Core Reading –Literacy Block Rubricscreated with input from Brookside Faculty June-2011Teachers used rubrics to self-assess Core Instruction implementation	Commence peer coaching to support teacher confidence in implementing Tier I Core Instruction Classroom teachers self-assess their level of implementation of Reading Workshop including all components, Fundations and word work Commence peer coaching to support increased understanding and sophistication of Reading Workshop including all components, Fundations implementation and word work instruction Classroom teachers self-assess their level of implementation of SIOP framework Commence peer coaching to support increased teacher understanding and sophistication of delivery of SIOP framework	September and ongoing October October and ongoing January 2012 January and ongoing	Collaboration Time, PD Time, SIOP, LCI Consultant, Dr. Joan Miller, Fundations & word work material A self-created rubric addressing all components of reading A self-created rubic for SIOP addressing best practices for teaching ELLs	Margaret Callagy & RTI Team Margaret Callagy & RTI Team Margaret Callagy & RTI Team Margaret Callagy & RTI Team Margaret Callagy & RTI Team	85% of teachers identify fidelity of core instruction as established and fully implemented.

Specific Rtl Indicator	Current Status	Action	Timeline	Resources	Who's Responsible	Evidence of Change
The core reading program is research-validated for the population of learners with whom it is being used.	55% of teachers identify Core reading instruction reflects research-based instruction that is systematic and explicit 62% of teachers disagree that our core reading program addresses the learning need of ELLs. SIOP framework has been introduced through teacher inquiry based PD.	Classroom teachers through grade level meetings will continue implementing Fundations; ensuring that all key concepts are taught systematically and explicitly. Consistency across grade level regarding implementation and pacing through monthly articulation. Continue faculty understanding of SIOP. Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol	September and ongoing October October and ongoing	Professional DevelopmentFundations PD and PacingFundations PD and PacingISA resources from Dr. ScanlonDr. Joan MillerLCI Consultant SupportSIOP text and rubricMaking Content Comprehensible for English Learners by Jana Echevarria MaryEllen VogtBalancing Reading & Language Learning by Mary CappelliniLCI Consultant, PD sessions	Margaret Callagy Ann Dealy Ferzeen Shamsi & RtI Team	85% of teachers identify Core reading instruction reflects research based instruction that is systemati and explicit.